

Article

Effect of postbiotic based on lactic acid bacteria on semen quality and health of male rabbits

Jesús V. Díaz Cano 1, María-José Argente 2 and María-Luz García 2, *

37 **Keywords:** Fermented food; Hepatic profile; Lactic acid bacteria; Postbiotic, 38 Rabbit; Semen profile

 Probiotics are live microorganisms that when administered in ad- equate amounts confer health benefits on the host [1]. Probiotic micro- organisms are primarily lactic acid-producing bacteria of the genus *Lac- tobacillus* [2]. These probiotics can regulate the balance of gut microbes, promote the growth and productivity of animals, and improve the host resistance to diseases [3]. Thus, they have been extensively used in dairy cattle [4], beef cattle [5], pigs [6], hens [7] and rabbits [8]. Postbi- otics are defined as soluble products or metabolites secreted by probi- otics that have physiological benefits to the host [9]. Postbiotics consist of a wide range of effector molecules [10] and they are capable of re- ducing the gut pH and, in turn, inhibiting the proliferation of oppor- tunistic pathogens in the feed and gut microbiota [10, 11]. Postbiotics, especially those derived from metabolites of *Lactobacillus*, have being proposed as an alternative to the use of antibiotics not only in human but also in monogastric [12]. Currently the application of postbiotics in human food, animal fed and pharmaceutical industries is increasing and postbiotics products derived from *Lactobacillus* species are com-mercially available for prevention or treatment of some diseases [10].

 Rabbit is a livestock species reared either for the production of meat, hair or skin or as an experimental reference for other species, such as pigs or humans [13]. In rabbit meat production, artificial insemina- tion is being widely used in intensive production farms [14]. The suc- cess of rabbit's artificial insemination program depends to both a great extent on male health and reproductive performance [15]. Thus produc- tivity, welfare and health of males should be improved by handling or feeding. Unlike other monogastric animals, data regarding the use of the postbiotics in rabbits are quite scarce [12]. The objective is to study the effect of postbiotic based on lactic acid bacteria supplementation on semen characteristics and hematological and biochemical profile in male rabbits.

72 **2. Materials and Methods**

73 2.1. Ethics statement

All experimental procedures were approved by the Miguel Her- nández University of Elche Research Ethics Committee, according to Council Directives 98/58/EC and 2010/63/EU (reference number 2019/VSC/PEA/0163).

78 2.2. Product description

The fermented food product tested was the result of a specific process of fermentation of a substrate and a combination of specific lactic acid bacteria and yeast. Substrate was a plant-based food product primarily composed by soya, alfalfa and wheat with other minor components. The fermented food product contained the phylum Firmicutes 84 (38.7 %), Proteobacteria (26.7 %), Bacteroidetes (18.3 %), Actinobacteria

40 **1. Introduction**

39

```
71
Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; 
Lastname, F. Title. Animals 2021, 11, 
x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx
Academic Editor: Firstname Last-
name
Received: date
Accepted: date
Published: date
```
Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open acce publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/).

 (j=2; Control diet and Enriched diet); Wi x Dj is the interaction effect, mijk is the random effect of the male and eijkl is the residual term.

 Residuals and male effects were assumed to be independently normally distributed with the same variance. A Bayesian analysis was used, with bounded flat priors for all unknown parameters. Marginal posterior distributions were estimated for all unknowns using Gibbs sampling. Marginal posterior distributions of the differences between lines were computed with the program Rabbit developed by the Insti-177 tute for Animal Science and Technology (Valencia, Spain). Monte Carlo Markov chains of 60000 iterations, with a burn-in period of 10000, and only one out of every 10 samples was saved for inferences. Conver- gence was tested using the Z criterion of Geweke and Monte Carlo sam-pling errors were computed using time-series procedures.

 Results are presented with Bayesian methodology. We provide 183 the difference between diets (D_{D-E}) and the precision of our estimation, finding the shortest interval with 95% probability of containing the true value, that can be asymmetric around the estimation. This is called the highest posterior density interval at 95% probability. We also calculate the actual probability of the difference between the Control diet and Enriched diet |DD-E| being higher than zero. We consider that there is enough evidence for the Control and Enriched diets being different 190 when the probability of this difference in absolute value $|D_{D-E}|$ is more 191 than 90%.

3. Results

3.1. Survival, body weight and feed intake

 Males fed with Enriched diet displayed similar survival rate to Control diet (Fig. 2a). Survival rate was 78.6 % for Enriched diet and 196 73.3 % for Control diet (Chi square = 0.07 ; P value = 79 %; data not 197 shown in tables).

 In general, body weight was 3514 g in Control diet and 3433 g in 199 Enriched diet ($P = 0.85$, Table 1). Feed intake was 5% higher with the 200 Control diet $(125.2 g)$ than with the Enriched diet $(118.6 g; P = 1.00)$. This difference was not due to a higher body weight of Control diet, since when the body weight was included as a covariate, the difference be- tween diets was maintained. The evolution of the body weight and feed intake each week is shown in Figures 2b and 2c.

3.2. Sperm quality

 Both diets showed similar percentage of eliminated ejaculates due to low macroscopic quality (12% in the Control diet and 14% in the En-riched Diet; Chi square = 0.58; P = 45%; data not shown in tables).

 Volume, motility and production were similar in both diets (Table 2). Enriched diet showed lower percentage of abnormal spermatozoa 211 than Control diet (22 % and 30 %, respectively; P = 0.93). This difference 212 was due to the lower percentage of tail abnormalities (16 % and 24 %, respectively; P = 0.90). Similar percentage of head and middle piece ab-normalities were found in both diets (4 % and 2 %, respectively).

215 Similar cytoplasmatic droplet was shown for both diets $(P = 0.69)$. Acrosome integrity was higher in Enriched than Control diet (97 % and 217 96 % respectively; $P = 0.87$.

3.3. Haematological and biochemical parameters

 Figures 3 and 4 show haematological parameters for diets and at the beginning and end of the experiment. WBC did not vary between 221 diets or throughout the experiment. Lymphocytes increased 15 % and 222 20 20 % in the Control diet ($P = 0.90$) and in the Enriched diet ($P = 0.93$). 223 Monocytes increased for the Control diet (P = 0.97) but they did not vary in the Enriched Diet. Neutrophils decreased in the Control diet (P 225 $= 0.90$ and in the Enriched diet ($P = 0.99$). Eosinophils and basophils 226 increased from week 0 to 15 in both Diets $(P = 1.00$ and $P = 0.91$, respec-227 tively).

 Alanine aminotransferase is shown for Control and Enriched diets at 0, 5, 10 and 15 weeks in Figure 5a. Alanine aminotransferase was 230 higher in the Control diet than in the Enriched diet at 5 week (P = 0.93) **and at 10 week (P** = 0.94). Both diets decreased the alanine aminotrans- ferase, but this decrease was lower in Control diet (5.6 U/L; from 50.2 to 44.6 U/L) than in Enriched diet (6.0 U/L; from 43.5 to 37.5 U/L; P=0.95; results not shown in Figure). Alkaline phosphatase was similar for both diets and throughout the entire control period (Fig 5b). Nevertheless, while the difference between 0 and 15 weeks was similar in Control diet 237 (39.6 and 35.5 U/L, respectively; $P = 0.62$), the alkaline phosphatase ex- hibited relevant reduction in Enriched diet (42.7 and 35.5 U/L, respec- tively; P = 0.97). Amylase tends to be higher in Control diet than in En- riched diet, showing difference at week 10 (P = 0.95; Figure 5c). Glucose was similar for both diets and ranged from 5.6 to 6.5 mmol/L (Fig. 5d).

 Enriched diet showed a higher total protein than Control diet after 243 the adaptation period $(+ 2.68 \text{ g/L}; P = 0.99; Fig. 6a)$ and was maintained until week 10 (+3.09 g/L; P = 0.99). However, after feeding Enriched diet for 15 weeks, the total protein was similar to Control diet. Control diet showed a lower globulin concentration than the Enriched diet in both $5 (P = 0.98; Fig. 6b)$ and 10 weeks $(P = 0.99)$. Albumin was higher at the beginning of the experiment in the Control diet (22.9 g/L; Fig., 6c) than 249 in the Enriched diet (21.9 g/L ; P = 0.94). Both diets presented similar albumin from 5 to 15 week.

 Control diet showed higher creatinine values than the Enriched 252 diet ($P = 0.92$) at week 0, but the values were similar at week 5, 10 and 15 (Fig 7a). Both diets decreased creatinine during the experiment (-20.8 μ mol/L in Control diet, P = 1.00; -30.5 μ mol/L in Enriched diet, P = 1.00). Regarding uric nitrogen, similar concentration was showed for both di- ets (Fig. 7b) and uric nitrogen increased during the experiment (+0.7 mmol/L in both lines; P=0.99). Total bilirubin was similar in both diets (Fig. 7c) and decreased during the experiment (-0.3 µmol/L in Control 259 diet, $P = 0.92$; -0.4 μ mol/L in Enriched diet, $P = 0.96$).

 The results of calcium, phosphorus, potassium and sodium are presented in Figure 8. Calcium was higher in Control diet both in 0 week (P = 0.93) and in 15 week (P = 0.97) and phosphorus was lower for 263 the 4 ($P = 0.90$) and 15 weeks ($P = 0.92$). Potassium and sodium were similar for the two diets throughout the experimentation period.

265 **Figure 1**. Experimental design diagram

266 **Figure 2**. Control and Enriched diet: (A) Keplen-Meier plot. (B) Evolution of body weight. (C) Evolution of 267 feed intake

268

269 **Table 1.** Effect of diet on body weight and feed intake in male rabbits

		E	$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{D}\text{-E}}$	$HPD_{95\%}$	
Body Weight (g)	3514	3443	71	$-66, 202$	0.85
Feed intake (g/day)	125.2	118.6	6.6	2.0, 10.7	1.00
Feed intake $(g/day)*$	125.3	118.3	7.0	2.7, 11.4	1.00

270 D: median of the Control diet; E: median of the Enriched diet; DD-E: difference between the

271 Control and Enriched diet; HPD95%: highest posterior density region at 95%; P: probability of the

272 difference being > 0. * Body weight as covariate

274 **Table 2.** Effect of diet on sperm quality in male rabbits

275 D: median of the Control diet; E: median of the Enriched diet; D_{D-E}: difference between the Control 276 and Enriched diet; HPD95%: highest posterior density region at 95%; P: probability of the difference 277 being > 0 when D_{D-E} > 0 or being < 0 when D_{D-E} < 0. 278

279

²⁷³

Figure 3. White blood cells (WBC, x10³/µL) levels for Control and Enriched diet at 0 and 15 weeks.

Figure 4. Percentage of lymphocytes, neutrophils, monocytes, eosinophils and basophils for Control and

Enriched diets at 0 and 15 weeks.

291 **Figure 5.** Evolution of (a) alanine aminotransferase; (b) alkaline phosphatase; (c) amylase; (d) glucose in males

292 fed with Control and Enriched diet. P is probability of the difference being > 0 when the difference

293 between the diets was > 0 or being < 0 when this difference was < 0.

296 **Figure 6.** Evolution of (a) total protein; (b) globulin; (c) albumin in males fed with Control and Enriched diet. P is probability of the difference being > 0 when the difference 297 between the diets was > 0 or being < 0 when this difference was < 0.

298

302 **Figure 7.** Evolution of (a) creatinine; (b) uric nitrogen; (c) total bilirubin in males fed with Control and Enriched diet. P is probability of the difference being > 0 when the 303 difference between the diets was > 0 or being < 0 when this difference was < 0.

Figure 8. Evolution of (a) calcium; (b) phosphorous; (c) potassium; (d) Sodium in males fed with Control and Enriched diet. P is 308 probability of the difference being > 0 when the difference between the diets was > 0 or being < 0 when this difference 309 w as $\lt 0$. 310

4. Discussion 311

There is an increasing evidence of the role of postbiotics as health promoter. The ben- 312 eficial effects of postbiotics are mediated through an interaction between the microbial 313 products and host [10]. In this study we have tested the effectiveness of a postbiotic for- 314 mulated with a fermented food product in semen quality and health status of the male 315 rabbit. The postbiotic has recently demonstrated the ability to improve welfare and health 316 in diabetics rats [16] and dairy heifer calves [19,20]. 317

Food intake is lower with the postbiotic than control diet from the second week. Nev- 318 ertheless, survival was not affected. When this diet has been applied to dairy heifer calves, 319 there has also been a decrease in consumption from week 5 of intake [19]. 320

Many studies have been carried out to improve the seminal quality in rabbits by sup- 321 plementing the feed with probiotics [21,22]. As far as we concern, no information has been 322 found regarding postbiotics. In our experiment, a slight improvement in the acrosome 323 integrity and spermatozoa with normal tail has been obtained in the Enriched diet, alt- 324 hough an increase in motility has not been provided. 325

Hematological parameters provide valuable information on the health status of the 326 animal. In the present study, hematological profile is within the range of healthy rabbits 327 both at the beginning and end of the experiment and for both diets [18,23]. Levels of albu- 328 min, alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase, total bilirubin, total protein, globu- 329 lin, glucose, creatinine, uric nitrogen and amylase are within the wide range of values 330 reported in rabbits [18,24,25]. 331

Alanine aminotransferase and alkaline phosphatase are markers of hepatic diseases 332 [26,27] and alkaline phosphatase is also related with other disorders like increase of bones 333 deposits, intestinal damage, hipertiroidism, and generalised tissue damage [28]. Males fed 334 with postbiotic diet shows lower alanine aminotransferase and alkaline phosphatase con- 335 centration, thus liver profile is improving. The benefit of the postbiotic on liver function 336 has also been demonstrated in rats [16]. Alanine aminotransferase has been decreased in 337 meat rabbit fed with lactic acid bacteria additive [29]. Moreover, a negative correlation 338 between these biomarkers in plasma and semen quality, mainly the motility and the acro- 339 somal damage, has been reported in rabbits [30] and in goats [31]. As previously men- 340 tioned, the improvement in acrosome and tail would agree with this result. 341

Several studies have reported the hypoglycemic effect of probiotic and fermented 342 products [32,33]. Our result indicates that amylase tend to be lower with the postbiotic. 343 This effect is not immediate, but it occurs after consuming the diet for 10 weeks. Glucose 344 levels were attenuated with the fermented food product in rats due to changes in the gut 345 microbiota composition [16]. 346

Principal plasma proteins are albumin and globulin [34]. Globulin can be considered 347 as a good indicator of immunity response [35]. The fermented product increased a 2.5% 348 total protein and a 5.2% globulin, whereas the albumin concentration was similar in both 349 diets. Thus, it could be indicated that postbiotic improve immunity to infectious agents. 350 Similar results have been obtained in calves supplemented with this postbiotic [21]. It has 351 been found that postbiotics from *Lactobacillus plantarum* also confers anti-inflammatory 352 responses, as observed in a study in porcine intestinal epithelial cell lines [36]. 353

We have measured uric nitrogen and creatinine as biomarkers of kidney function 354 status. The results indicate that kidney function has not been affected by the use of the 355 postbiotic, since both biomarkers evolved in a similar way during the experiment for the 356 Control and Enriched diet. 357

Little information is available supplementation on blood minerals in response to 358 postbiotics. Minerals act as structural and functional cofactors in metal-containing en- 359 zyme [37]. In addition, phosphorus is part of the ATP molecule, which is the major energy 360 source for cellular function [38]. The postbiotic increased phosphorous levels in rabbit 361 bloods. This finding is supported by [37] in rabbits fed with probiotics and an 362

improvement on metabolic state of the rabbits could be expected. The results regarding 363 calcium are not conclusive. The postbiotic equalizes the calcium levels of the animals with 364 the Control diet, although the calcium decreases to the initial values in the last week of 365 treatment. 366

367

5. Conclusions 368

In conclusion, postbiotics based on lactic acid bacteria improve health status of the 369 rabbit males, especially with respect to the liver function. It also improves sperm quality, 370 specifically the quality of the tail and the acrosome of the spermatozoid. The improvement 371 in postbiotic intake should be investigated as it could affect the results obtained in the 372 long term. 373

. **375** — Википедия и Саветский и Саветск
Википедия и Саветский и

Funding: This research was funded by PENTABIOL 379

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the 380 Council Directives 98/58/EC and 2010/63/EU, and approved by the University Miguel Hernández of 381 Elche Research Ethics Committee (reference number 2019/VSC/PEA/0163 approved on 5 September 382 2019). 383

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. 384

References 385

- [1] Hill, C.; Guarner, F.; Reid, G.; Gibson, G. R.; Merenstein, D. J.; Pot, B.; Morelli, L.; Canani, R.B.; Flint H.J.; Salminen, S.; Calder, 386 P.C.; Sanders, M.A. The international scientific association for probiotics and prebiotics consensus on the scope and appropriate 387 use of the term probiotic. *Nature Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol*. **2014**, 11, 506–514. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66 388
- [2] Sarowska, J.; Choroszy-Król, I.; Regulska-Ilow, B.; Frej-Ma̧drzak, M.; Jama-Kmiecik, A. The therapeutic effect of probiotic bacteria 389 on gastrointestinal diseases (Review). *Adv. Clin. Exp. Med.* **2013**, 22 (5), 759-766. 390
- [3] Bron, P.A.; Tomita, S.; Mercenier, A.; Kleerebezem, M. Cell surface-associated compounds of probiotic lactobacilli sustain the 391 strain-specificity dogma. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol*. **2013**, 16, 262–269. DOI: 10.1016/j.mib.2013.06.001 392
- [4] Nader-Macías, M.E.F.; Otero, M.C.; Espeche, M.C.; Maldonado, N.C. Advances in the design of probiotic products for the preven- 393 tion of major diseases in dairy cattle. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol*. **2008**, 35, 1387–1395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-008-0438-2 394
- [5] Kelsey, A.J.; Colpoys, J.D. Effects of dietary probiotics on beef cattle performance and stress. *J. Vet. Behav*. **2018** 27, 8-14. 395 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2018.05.010. 396
- [6] Dowarah, R.; Verma, A.K.; Agarwal, N. The use of Lactobacillus as an alternative of antibiotic growth promoters in pigs: A review. 397 *Anim. Nutr.* **2017,** 3(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2016.11.002. 398
- [7] Zhang, J.L.; Xie, Q.M.; Ji, J.; Yang, W.H.; Wu, Y.B.; Li, C.; Ma J.Y.; Bi, Y.Z. Different combinations of probiotics improve the pro- 399 duction performance, egg quality, and immune response of layer hens. *Poult. Sci. J.* **2012**, 91(11), 2755-2760. 400 https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02339. 401
- [8] Bhatt, R.S.; Agrawal, A. R., Sahoo, A. (2017) Effect of probiotic supplementation on growth performance, nutrient utilization and 402 carcass characteristics of growing Chinchilla rabbits. Journal of Applied Animal Research, **2017**, 45(1), 304-309. DOI: 403 10.1080/09712119.2016.1174126 404
- [9] Aguilar-Toalá, J.E.; Garcia-Varela, R.; Garcia, H.S., Mata-Haro, V.; González-Córdova, A.F., Vallejo-Cordoba B.; Hernádez-Men- 405 doza, A. Postbiotics: an evolving term within the functional foods field. *Trends Food. Sci. Technol*. **2018,** 75, 105–114. doi: 406 10.1016/j.tifs.2018.03.009 407

[10] Teame, T.; Wang, A.; Xie, M.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Ding, Q.; Gao, C.; Olsen, R. E.; Ran, C.; Zhou, Z. (2020). Paraprobiotics and 408 postbiotics of probiotic *Lactobacilli*, their positive effects on the host and action mechanisms: A Review. *Front. Nutr.* **2020**, 7, 409 570344. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.570344 410

[11] Humam, A.M.; Loh, T.C.; Foo, H.L.; Samsudin, A.A.; Mustapha, N.M.; Zulkifli, I.; Izuddin, W.I. Effects of feeding different 411 postbiotics produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* on growth performance, carcass yield, intestinal morphology, gut microbiota 412 composition, immune status, and growth gene expression in broilers under heat stress. *Animals* **2019**, 9, 644. doi: 413

[24] Leineweber, C.; Müller, E.; Marschang, R.E. Blood reference intervals for rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) from routine diagnostic 447 samples. Best immung von Blutreferenzwerten für Kaninchen (Oryctolagus cuniculus) aus Routine diagnostic proben. *Tierarztl* 448 *Prax Ausg K Kleintiere Heimtiere* **2018**, 46, 393–398. 449

[25] Washington, I.M.; Van Hoosier, G. Clinical Biochemistry and Hematology. In The Laboratory Rabbit, Guinea Pig, Hamster, and 450 Other Rodents; Suckow, M.A., Stevens, K.A., Wilson, R.P., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, *2012*; pp. 57–116. 451

